

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA		
NKUMA UCHE,)	CASE NO. 4:09CV3106
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
NORTH STAR CAPITAL)	MEMORANDUM
ACQUISITION, LLC, KIRK E.)	AND ORDER
BRUMBAUGH, MARK QUANDAHL,)	
KARL VON OLDENBERG, SARA)	
MILLER, and CORY ROONEY,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
NKUMA UCHE,)	CASE NO. 4:09CV3123
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRUMBAUGH & QUANDAHL, P.C.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

agreed-upon telephone deposition would take place on Wednesday, June 9, 2010, at 9:30 a.m. (Case No. 4:09CV3123, Filing No. [69](#); Case No. 4:09CV3106, Filing No. [138](#).) North Star's representative is located in New York, counsel for North Star is located in Iowa, and Plaintiff is located in Nebraska.¹ (Case No. 4:09CV3123, Filing No. [69](#); Case No. 4:09CV3106, Filing No. [138](#).) In light of this, and in light of the June 14, 2010, deposition deadline, the court finds that the parties' previous agreement should be enforced.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Defendants' Motion to Quash Notice of Deposition and for Protective Order to Enforce Agreement (Case No. 4:09CV3123, Filing No. [69](#); Case No. 4:09CV3106, Filing No. [138](#)) is granted;
2. The deposition of a representative from Defendant North Star Capital Acquisitions shall take place by telephone on June 9, 2010, at 9:30 a.m. In accordance with the parties' previous agreement, counsel for Defendant shall arrange the conference call. Plaintiff shall provide counsel for Defendant with his telephone number and the telephone number of his chosen court reporter; and
3. All other pending Motions and Objections are denied.

DATED this 7th day of June, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

¹Plaintiff subsequently requested that the deposition occur by video conference. Defendants object to video conferencing because a video conference deposition requires locating host facilities in Nebraska, Iowa, and New York. (Case No. 4:09CV3123, Filing No. [69](#); Case No. 4:09CV3106, Filing No. [138](#).) In short, the record shows that setting up a video conference comes at a considerable cost (of both time and money) to the parties. Such a cost is unnecessary given the availability of, and prior agreement regarding, a telephonic deposition.

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.